IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 13507 of 2004

Between:

K. Sreedevi, D/o. K. Balasubba Reddy,
R/o. Seettivaripalli (V & P), Mydukuru (M), Kadapa Dt.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary to Higher Education,Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Director of Intermediate Education, Nampally, Hyderabad.
3 Sree Veerabrahmendra Junior College, Brahmamgari Mattam (P&M) Kadapa District, Rep by its President

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not considering the case of the petitioner for regularisation as Junior Lecturer in Commerce in the 3rd respondent College as illegal, arbitrary and against to the principles of Natural Justice and consequently direct the 1st respondent to consider the case of the petitioner for regularization as Junior Lecturer in commerce in the 3rd respondent College and admit her into grant-in-aid with effect from 1-7-1999 with all consequential benefits.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.POLISETTI RADHA KRISHNA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2: GP FOR EDUCATION

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: MR. S.S. PRASAD.

The Court made the following:

ORAL ORDER:

The petitioner is working as a part time Junior Lecturer in Commerce in the 3rd respondent college since 1999. It is submitted that the petitioner made a representation to the 3rd respondent for regularization of her services in a grant-in-aid post of Junior Lecturer in Commerce and that the 3rd respondent-college recommended her case for regularization to the 1st respondent but the 1st respondent did not pass any orders so far. The grievance of the petitioner is that though the 3rd respondent recommended her case to the 2nd respondent through a letter dated 10-9-2003, for absorption of the petitioner as a Junior Lecturer in Commerce in the existing vacant aided post, the 2nd respondent did not pass any orders till now. Therefore, she filed this writ petition seeking a direction to the 2nd respondent to pass appropriate orders on the recommendation of the 3rd respondent through a letter dated 10-09-2003.

In the light of the above, it is clear that the representation is pending before the 2nd respondent for more than ten months. The 2nd respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders on the recommendation of the 3rd respondent through a letter dated 10-09-2003 within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

2-08-2004

es/mjl

To

1 The Principal Secretary, Higher Education, The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Director of Intermediate Education, Nampally, Hyderabad.
3 The President, Sree Veerabrahmendera Junior College, Brahmamgari Mattam (P&M) Kadapa District.

4. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Education, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

5. 2 CD copies.

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**RLD**}*